arXiv:nlin/0204036v1 [nlin.SI] 16 Apr 2002

Higher order potential expansion for the continuous limits of the Toda hierarchy

Runliang Lin†§[1], Wen-Xiu Ma‡[2] and Yunbo Zeng†[3]
† Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R. China
§ Service de physique de l'état condensé, CEA-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France
‡ Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, P.R. China
‡ Department of Mathematics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620-5700, USA

Abstract. A method for introducing the higher order terms in the potential expansion to study the continuous limits of the Toda hierarchy is proposed in this paper. The method ensures that the higher order terms are differential polynomials of the lower ones and can be continued to be performed indefinitly. By introducing the higher order terms, the fewer equations in the Toda hierarchy are needed in the so-called recombination method to recover the KdV hierarchy. It is shown that the Lax pairs, the Poisson tensors, and the Hamiltonians of the Toda hierarchy tend towards the corresponding ones of the KdV hierarchy in continuous limit.

1. Introduction

The continuous limits of discrete systems are one of the remarkably important research areas in soliton theory [1, 2, 3, 4]. In recent years, more attention was focused on the continuous limit relations between hierarchies of discrete systems and hierarchies of soliton equations [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. The so-called recombination method,

[1]E-mail address: rlin@math.tsinghua.edu.cn
[2]E-mail address: mawx@math.cityu.edu.hk
[3]E-mail address: yzeng@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

i.e., properly combining the objects (such as the vector fields) of discrete systems, was first proposed to study the continuous limit of the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy [5] and the Kac-van Moerbeke hierarchy [6]. Morosi and Pizzocchero also used the recombination method to study the continuous limits of some integrable lattices in their recent works [7, 8, 9]. Up to now, there has not been much work concerning the continuous limit relations between lattices and differential equations, which have different numbers of potentials. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, there is no work which successfully gives a way to introduce the higher order terms in potential expansion to study the continuous limit relations between hierarchies of lattices and hierarchies of soliton equations. Illumined by Gieseker's conjecture [10], we will propose a method for finding the higher order terms in potential expansion to study the continuous limit relation between the Toda hierarchy and the KdV hierarchy by the recombination method.

In 1996, Gieseker proposed a conjecture [10]:

Conjecture. *Denote $w(n,t)$ and $v(n,t)$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, are the two potentials of the Toda hierarchy, and let f be a function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. There are $\Phi_i(f)$'s, which are the differential polynomials of f, so that if we define*

$$w(n,t) = -2 + f(x,t)h^2 + h^2 \sum_{i=1}^{L} \Phi_i(f(x,t))h^i, \tag{1.1a}$$

$$v(n,t) = 1 + f(x,t)h^2 - h^2 \sum_{i=1}^{L} \Phi_i(f(x,t))h^i, \tag{1.1b}$$

where h is the small step of lattice and $x = nh$, then by taking suitable linear combinations of the equations of Toda hierachy under the definition (1.1), we can produce asymptotic series whose leading terms in h are the KdV hierarchy if L is large enough.

In [10], Gieseker proposed a way to introduce $\Phi_i(f)$ by using the Toda lattice

$$w_t = v - Ev = v - v^{(1)}, \qquad v_t = v(E^{(-1)}w - w) = v(w^{(-1)} - w), \tag{1.2}$$

where the shift operator E is defined by

$$(Ef)(n) = f(n+1), \quad f^{(k)}(n) = E^{(k)}f(n) = f(n+k), \quad n,k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For instance, in order to find $\Phi_1(f)$, substituting the definition (1.1) into the equation (1.2) and expanding the shift terms out by Taylor's theorem

$$\frac{df}{dt} + \frac{d\Phi_1(f)}{dt}h = -\frac{df}{dx}h - \frac{d^2f}{2dx^2}h^2 + \frac{d\Phi_1(f)}{dx}h^2 + O(h^3), \tag{1.3a}$$

$$\frac{df}{dt} - \frac{d\Phi_1(f)}{dt}h = -\frac{df}{dx}h + \frac{d^2f}{2dx^2}h^2 - \frac{d\Phi_1(f)}{dx}h^2 + O(h^3). \tag{1.3b}$$

Combining the above two equations we know

$$\frac{df}{dt} = -\frac{df}{dx}h + O(h^3), \tag{1.4}$$

then by the chain rule we have

$$\frac{d\Phi_1(f)}{dt} = -\frac{d\Phi_1(f)}{dx}h + O(h^2), \tag{1.5}$$

Notice the above equation and the equation (1.3a) one can get

$$\frac{d\Phi_1(f)}{dx} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{d^2f}{dx^2}, \tag{1.6}$$

by integration it yields

$$\Phi_1(f) = \frac{1}{4}\frac{df}{dx}. \tag{1.7}$$

We can see that the integration must be used in this process for finding $\Phi_i(f)$. As a consequence, there is a problem that whether this process can be continued indefinitely and the $\Phi_i(f)$'s, found in this process, are the differential polynomials of f.

The Gieseker's conjecture were proved in the following three cases of (1.1) [11]:

$$\text{(a)} \qquad L = 0, \quad f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t);$$

$$\text{(b)} \qquad L = 1, \quad f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t), \quad \Phi_1(f) = \frac{1}{8}q_x;$$

$$\text{(c)} \qquad L = 2, \quad f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t), \quad \Phi_1(f) = \frac{1}{8}q_x, \quad \Phi_2(q) = -\frac{1}{32}q^2.$$

It was found that the fewer equations in the Toda hierarchy are needed in the recombination method for the case (c) to give the KdV hierarchy than for the case (a).

In this paper, we will give a new method to introduce $\Phi_i(f)$ required in (1.1) instead of the Gieseker's process in order that we can derive the continuous limit relation between the Toda hierarchy and the KdV hierarchy by the recombination method. Following our approach for finding $\Phi_i(f)$, one can easily see that the $\Phi_i(f)$'s are all differential polynomials of f. Compared with the previous work in [11], we

will show that the fewer equations in the Toda hierarchy are needed in the recombination method for giving the KdV hierarchy if higher order terms are introduced in the potential expansion (1.1). We will also present that the Lax pairs, the Poisson tensors, and the Hamiltonians of the Toda hierarchy tend towards the corresponding ones of the KdV hierarchy in continuous limit.

2. Basic notation and some known results

For latter use, we list some notation and results in [11]. Let us consider the following discrete isospectral problem [12, 13],

$$Ly = (E + w + vE^{(-1)})y = \lambda y, \tag{2.8}$$

where $w = w(n,t)$ and $v = v(n,t)$ depend on integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and real variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and λ is the spectral parameter.

The equation in the Toda hierarchy associated with (2.8) can be written as the following Hamiltonian equation [12]

$$\begin{pmatrix} w \\ v \end{pmatrix}_{t_m} = JK_{m+1} = J\frac{\delta H_{m+1}}{\delta u}, \quad m = 0, 1, ..., \tag{2.9}$$

where $\frac{\delta}{\delta u} = (\frac{\delta}{\delta w}, \frac{\delta}{\delta v})^T$, and the Poisson tensor J and the Hamiltonians H_i are defined by

$$J \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1-E)v \\ v(E^{(-1)} - 1) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$K_i \equiv \begin{pmatrix} K_{i,1} \\ K_{i,2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\delta H_i}{\delta u} = \begin{pmatrix} -b_i^{(1)} \\ \frac{a_i}{v} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., \tag{2.10}$$

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2}\ln v, \quad H_i = -\frac{b_{i+1}}{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, ...,$$

with $a_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $b_0 = 0$, and

$$b_{i+1}^{(1)} = wb_i^{(1)} - (a_i^{(1)} + a_i), \qquad a_{i+1}^{(1)} - a_{i+1} = w(a_i^{(1)} - a_i) + vb_i - v^{(1)}b_i^{(2)}, \tag{2.11}$$

for $i = 0, 1, ...$ The Lax pairs for the mth equation of the Toda hierarchy (2.9) are given by (2.8) and

$$y_{t_m} = A_m y = \sum_{i=0}^{m}(-vb_i^{(1)}E^{(-1)} - a_i)(E + w + vE^{(-1)})^{m-i}y, \qquad m = 0, 1, ... \tag{2.12}$$

The equations (2.9) have the bi-Hamiltonian formulation

$$GK_{i-1} = JK_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., \tag{2.13}$$

$$G \equiv \begin{pmatrix} vE^{(-1)} - v^{(1)}E & w(1-E)v \\ v(E^{(-1)} - 1)w & v(E^{(-1)} - E)v \end{pmatrix},$$

where G is the second Poisson tensor. The Toda hierarchy also has a tri-Hamiltonian formulation and a Virasoro algebra of master symmetries [14, 15]. The first four covariants K_i's are

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2v} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_3 = \begin{pmatrix} v + v^{(1)} + w^2 \\ w + w^{(-1)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.14}$$

The Schrödinger spectral problem is given by

$$\overline{L}\overline{y} = (\partial_x^2 + q)\overline{y} = -\overline{\lambda}\overline{y}. \tag{2.15}$$

which is associated with the KdV hierarchy [13]

$$q_{t_m} = B_0 P_m = B_0 \frac{\delta \overline{H}_m}{\delta q}, \qquad m = 0, 1, ..., \tag{2.16}$$

where the vector field possesses the bi-Hamiltonian formulation with two Poisson tensors B_0 and B_1

$$B_0 P_{k+1} = B_1 P_k, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., \tag{2.17}$$

$$B_0 = \partial \equiv \partial_x, \quad B_1 = \frac{1}{4}\partial^3 + q\partial + \frac{1}{2}q_x, \quad \overline{H}_i = \frac{4\bar{b}_{i+2}}{2i+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, ...,$$

with $\bar{b}_0 = 0$, $\bar{b}_1 = 1$, and

$$\bar{b}_{i+1} = (\frac{1}{4}\partial^2 + q - \frac{1}{2}\partial^{-1}q_x)\bar{b}_i, \quad i = 0, 1, ...,$$

where $\partial^{-1}\partial = \partial\partial^{-1} = 1$. The first three covariants P_k's read as

$$P_0 = 2, \quad P_1 = q, \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{4}(3q^2 + q_{xx}). \tag{2.18}$$

The well-known KdV equation is the second one:

$$q_{t_2} = \frac{1}{4}(3q^2 + q_{xx})_x. \tag{2.19}$$

The Lax pairs for the mth equation of the KdV hierarchy (2.16) are given by (2.15) and

$$\overline{y}_{t_m} = \overline{A}_m \overline{y} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-\frac{1}{2}\overline{b}_{i,x} + \overline{b}_i \partial)(\partial^2 + q)^{m-i} \overline{y}, \qquad m = 0, 1, ... \tag{2.20}$$

Let us consider the Toda hierarchy on a lattice with a small step h. We interpolate the sequences $(w(n))$ and $(v(n))$ with two smooth functions of a continuous variable x, and relate $w(n)$ and $v(n)$ to $f(x)$ by using (1.1). Suppose

$$E^{(k)} w(n) = -2 + f(x + kh)h^2 + h^2 \sum_{i=1}^{L} \Phi_i(f(x + kh))h^i,$$

$$E^{(k)} v(n) = 1 + f(x + kh)h^2 - h^2 \sum_{i=1}^{L} \Phi_i(f(x + kh))h^i, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In [11], we got the following result.

Proposition 1 *Under the relation (1.1) with $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$, the Lax operator of the Toda hierarchy goes to the Lax operator of the KdV hierarchy in continuous limit, i.e., we have*

$$L = \overline{L}h^2 + O(h^3), \tag{2.21}$$

Lemma 1 *Under the relation (1.1), we have*

$$K_i = \begin{pmatrix} -b_i^{(1)} \\ \frac{a_i}{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i \\ \gamma_i \end{pmatrix} + O(h), \qquad i = 0, 1, ..., \tag{2.22}$$

where α_i and γ_i are given by

$$\alpha_0 = 0, \qquad \alpha_1 = 1, \qquad \gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \gamma_1 = 0, \tag{2.23a}$$

$$\alpha_i = (-1)^{(i-1)} C_{2i-2}^{i-1}, \qquad \gamma_i = (-1)^i C_{2i-2}^{i}, \qquad i = 2, 3, ... \tag{2.23b}$$

Define $\widetilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \widetilde{J}_{21} \\ \widetilde{J}_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ by requiring that $J\widetilde{J} = I$. Then the following lemma is true.

Lemma 2 *Under the relation (1.1), we have*

$$TK_i \equiv \widetilde{J}GK_i = K_{i+1} + \delta_{i+1}K_0, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$\delta_i = -2(\alpha_i + \gamma_i) = (-1)^i \frac{2}{i} C_{2i-2}^{i-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, ... \tag{2.25}$$

Proposition 2 *Under the relation (1.1) with $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$, the Poisson tensors of the Toda hierarchy go to those of the KdV hierarchy in continuous limit,*

$$J = -B_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h + O(h^2), \quad W_{ij} + W_{kl} = -B_1 h^3 + O(h^4), \tag{2.26}$$

where $W \equiv \frac{1}{4}G\widetilde{J}G + G = (W_{ij})$, $1 \le i, j \le 2$, and

$$(i, j, k, l) \in \{(1, 1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2, 1), (1, 2, 2, 2), (2, 1, 2, 2)\}.$$

3. Higher order potential expansion and the continuous limits of the Toda hierarchy

Now, we give a new method to introduce $\Phi_i(f)$ required in (1.1) and derive the continuous limits of the Toda hierarchy under the relation (1.1) with $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$.

Lemma 3 *Define the operator as*

$$T \equiv \widetilde{J}G = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.27}$$

Then under the relation (1.1) with $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$, the operator T has the following expansions for its entries:

$$T_{11} = -2 + \frac{1}{2}h^2 q + O(h^3), \quad T_{12} = 2 + h\partial + (\frac{1}{2}\partial^2 + q)h^2 + O(h^3),$$

$$T_{21} = 2 - h\partial + (\frac{1}{2}\partial^2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial^{-1}q_x)h^2 + O(h^3), \quad T_{22} = -2 + \frac{1}{2}h^2\partial^{-1}q\partial + O(h^3).$$

Proof. The result can be found in [11] (see the proof of Lemma 3 in [11]).

Lemma 4 *Under the relation (1.1) with $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$, we have the following expansions,*

$$K_i \equiv \begin{pmatrix} K_{i,1} \\ K_{i,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i + \Psi_{i,1,0}(q)h^2 + h^2 \sum\limits_{j=1}^{L} h^j(\zeta_{i,1}\Phi_j + \Psi_{i,1,j}(q,\Phi_1,...,\Phi_{j-1})) \\ \gamma_i + \Psi_{i,2,0}(q)h^2 + h^2 \sum\limits_{j=1}^{L} h^j(\zeta_{i,2}\Phi_j + \Psi_{i,2,j}(q,\Phi_1,...,\Phi_{j-1})) \end{pmatrix} + O(h^{L+3}), \tag{3.28}$$

for $i = 0,1,2,...$, where α_i and γ_i are given in Lemma 1,

$$\zeta_{0,1} = 0, \quad \zeta_{0,2} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \zeta_{1,1} = 0, \quad \zeta_{1,2} = 0,$$

$$\zeta_{i+1,1} = -2\zeta_{i,1} + 2\zeta_{i,2} + \alpha_i - 2\gamma_i, \quad \zeta_{i+1,2} = 2\zeta_{i,1} - 2\zeta_{i,2} + \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i+1}, \quad i = 0,1,..., \tag{3.29}$$

$\Psi_{i,1,j}(q,\Phi_1,...,\Phi_{j-1})$ stands for the term which is a differential polynomial of q, Φ_1, ..., Φ_{j-1}, and etc.

Proof. Define $c_i = -vb_i^{(1)}$, $i = 0,1,....$ Using the identity [12]

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k}(a_i a_{k-i} + b_i c_{k-i}) = 0, \qquad k = 1,2,...,$$

we can show by the mathematical induction that a_i, b_i, c_i, $i = 0,1,...$, are polynomials of w, v, $w^{(-1)}$, $v^{(-1)}$, $w^{(1)}$, $v^{(1)}$, ... According to the definition of K_i in (2.10), we conclude that K_i has the expansion formula (3.28). Notice Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we can prove (3.29) by the mathematical induction.

Lemma 5 *Define the combination coefficients $\beta_{k,i}$, $0 \le i \le k+1$, $k = 0,1,...$, as follows*

$$\beta_{0,0} = 2, \qquad \beta_{0,1} = 1, \qquad \beta_{1,0} = -2, \qquad \beta_{1,1} = 2, \qquad \beta_{1,2} = 1,$$

$$\beta_{k+1,i} = \beta_{k,i-1}, \quad 1 \le i \le k+2, \qquad \beta_{k+1,0} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}\delta_{i+1}, \tag{3.30}$$

then we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}\alpha_i = 0, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}\gamma_i = 0, \qquad k = 1, 2, ...$$

Proof. It is easy to check the case when $k = 1$. If the lemma is true for k, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i} K_i = O(h) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so according to Lemma 2, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+2} \beta_{k+1,i} K_i = \widetilde{J}G \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i} K_i = O(h) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which completes the proof.

Lemma 6 *Let $\beta_{k,i}$ be defined by (3.30). Then we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}(\zeta_{i,2} - \zeta_{i,1}) = (-4)^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, ... \tag{3.31}$$

Proof. It is easy to check the case when $k = 1$. If the lemma is true for k, then we have (according to Lemma 1 and Lemma 4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{k+2} \beta_{k+1,i}(\zeta_{i,2} - \zeta_{i,1}) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}\delta_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} \beta_{k,i-1}(\zeta_{i,2} - \zeta_{i,1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}\delta_{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}(-4\zeta_{i,2} + 4\zeta_{i,1} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i+1} + 2\gamma_i) \\
&= -4\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}(\zeta_{i,2} - \zeta_{i,1}) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}\gamma_i,
\end{aligned}$$

Note Lemma 5, and the proof is completed.

Proposition 3 *Given an integer $m > 0$, let $\beta_{k,i}$ be defined by (3.30), and set*

$$\Phi_{2k-1} = (-1)^k 2^{-2k-1}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\partial P_k + 2\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\beta_{k,i}(\Psi_{i,1,2k-1} - \Psi_{i,2,2k-1})\right],$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{2k} &= (-1)^k 2^{-2k-1}\left[\frac{1}{2}P_{k+1} - (\frac{1}{2}\partial^2 + \frac{3}{2}q)\frac{1}{2}P_k - \partial\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\beta_{k,i}(\zeta_{i,2}\Phi_{2k-1} + \Psi_{i,2,2k-1})\right.\\ &\quad \left. +2\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\beta_{k,i}(\Psi_{i,1,2k} - \Psi_{i,2,2k})\right], \qquad (3.32)\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, ..., m-1$. Then under the relation (1.1) with $L = 2m-2$, $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$ and (3.32) we have

$$\widetilde{P}_m \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}K_i = \frac{1}{2}P_m h^{2m}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix} + O(h^{2m+1}), \qquad (3.33)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix}w\\v\end{pmatrix}_{t_m} + \frac{1}{h^{2m-1}}J\widetilde{P}_m = \frac{1}{2}(q_{t_m} - B_0P_m)h^2\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix} + O(h^3). \qquad (3.34)$$

Proof. It is easy to check the case when $m = 1$, If the equation (3.33) is valid for m, then we have (according to Lemma 4)

$$\begin{aligned}T\widetilde{P}_m &= \widetilde{J}G\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}K_i \qquad (3.35)\\ &= \widetilde{J}G\left[\frac{1}{2}P_m h^{2m}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix} + h^{2m+1}\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}\begin{pmatrix}\zeta_{i,1}\Phi_{2m-1} + \Psi_{i,1,2m-1}\\ \zeta_{i,2}\Phi_{2m-1} + \Psi_{i,2,2m-1}\end{pmatrix}\right.\\ &\quad \left. +h^{2m+2}\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}\begin{pmatrix}\zeta_{i,1}\Phi_{2m} + \Psi_{i,1,2m}\\ \zeta_{i,2}\Phi_{2m} + \Psi_{i,2,2m}\end{pmatrix} + O(h^{2m+3})\right], \qquad (3.36)\end{aligned}$$

note the definition of Φ_{2m-1} and Φ_{2m} in (3.32), we obtain (due to (3.31))

$$-2\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}(\zeta_{i,1}\Phi_{2m-1} + \Psi_{i,1,2m-1}) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}(\zeta_{i,2}\Phi_{2m-1} + \Psi_{i,2,2m-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\partial P_m = 0, \qquad (3.37)$$

and

$$(\frac{1}{2}\partial^2+\frac{3}{2}q)\frac{1}{2}P_m+\partial\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}(\zeta_{i,2}\Phi_{2m-1}+\Psi_{i,2,2m-1})$$

$$-2\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}(\zeta_{i,1}\Phi_{2m}+\Psi_{i,1,2m})+2\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}(\zeta_{i,2}\Phi_{2m}+\Psi_{i,2,2m})=\frac{1}{2}P_{m+1}. \quad (3.38)$$

Combining the above two equations (3.37) and (3.38), and noting the equation (2.17), we have

$$(\frac{1}{2}\partial^2-\frac{1}{2}\partial^{-1}q_x+\frac{1}{2}\partial^{-1}q\partial)\frac{1}{2}P_m-\partial\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}(\zeta_{i,1}\Phi_{2m-1}+\Psi_{i,1,2m-1})$$

$$+2\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}(\zeta_{i,1}\Phi_{2m}+\Psi_{i,1,2m})-2\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}(\zeta_{i,2}\Phi_{2m}+\Psi_{i,2,2m})=\frac{1}{2}P_{m+1}. \quad (3.39)$$

So we get

$$T\widetilde{P}_m=\frac{1}{2}P_{m+1}h^{2m+2}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}+O(h^{2m+3}). \quad (3.40)$$

On the other hand (according to Lemma 2),

$$T\widetilde{P}_m=\widetilde{J}G\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}K_i=\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}(K_{i+1}+\delta_{i+1}K_0)=\widetilde{P}_{m+1}. \quad (3.41)$$

The equation (3.34) is the corollary of the equation (3.33) and Proposition 2. The proof is finished.

We give an example here. For $m=3$, using Proposition 3, we can get

$$\Phi_1=\frac{1}{8}q_x,\qquad \Phi_2=-\frac{1}{32}q^2,\qquad \Phi_3=-\frac{1}{384}q_{xxx},\qquad \Phi_4=\frac{1}{254}(q^3+qq_{xx}+q_x^2), \quad (3.42)$$

then under the relation (1.1) with $L=4$, $f(x,t)=\frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$ and the above Φ_i's we have

$$-10K_0+4K_1-2K_2+2K_3+K_4=\frac{1}{2}P_3h^6\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}+O(h^7).$$

In the previous work in [11], we must combine K_0, K_1, ..., K_6 for giving P_3 under the relation (1.1) with $L=0$. In general, K_0, K_1, ..., K_{2m} are needed to be combined

for giving P_m under the relation (1.1) with $L = 0$ [11]. Proposition 3 shows us that almost only half of them, i.e., K_0, K_1, ..., K_{m+1}, are needed to give P_m by introducing $\Phi_i(f)$ (3.32). Furthermore, according to the recursion formula for $\Phi_i(f)$ (3.32) it is easy to see that all the $\Phi_i(f)$'s, introduced by (3.32), are differential polynomials of f, and our process for finding $\Phi_i(f)$ can be continued indefinitly.

In what follows, we will derive the continuous limit relations between the Hamiltonians, the Lax pairs of the Toda hierarchy and those of the KdV hierarhcy, respectively.

Lemma 7 *If there is a relation between $\widetilde{w}(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $q(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\widetilde{w}(n) = q^{(s_1)}(x)q^{(s_2)}(x)\cdots q^{(s_m)}(x)h^l, \tag{3.43}$$

where h is the step of lattice, $x = nh$, s_i, $1 \le i \le m$ and l are nonnegtive integers, and denote $\widetilde{S}$ as the operator which stands for submitting the relation (3.43) into a polynomial of $\widetilde{w}$, $\widetilde{w}^{(-1)}$, $\widetilde{w}^{(1)}$, ..., and then expanding in Taylor series, then we have the formula

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta q} \circ \widetilde{S} = h^l \widetilde{Z} \circ \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\delta}{\delta \widetilde{w}}, \tag{3.44}$$

where $\widetilde{Z}$ stands for a differential operator.

The proof for Lemma 7 is given in Appendix A.

Proposition 4 *Given an integer $m > 0$, set*

$$\widetilde{H}_m \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} \beta_{m,i} H_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \beta_{m,i} \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{i}, \tag{3.45}$$

under the relation (1.1) with $L = 2m-2$, $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$ and (3.32), we have

$$\int S(\widetilde{H}_m)dx = \frac{1}{2}h^{2m+2}\int \overline{H}_m dx + O(h^{2m+3}), \tag{3.46}$$

where S is an operator which stands for submitting the relation (1.1) with $L = 2m-2$, $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$ and (3.32) into a polynomial of w, $v(n)$, $w^{(-1)}$, $v^{(-1)}$, $w^{(1)}$, $v^{(1)}$, ..., and then expanding in Taylor series.

Proof. According to Lemma 7, under the relation (1.1) with $L = 2m-2$, $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$ and (3.32), (since Φ_i's are differential polynomials of q), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta}{\delta q}\circ S &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-\partial)^j\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{(j)}}\circ S \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-\partial)^j\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left[(\frac{\partial S(w^{(k)})}{q^{(j)}})S\circ\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{(k)}}+(\frac{\partial S(v^{(k)})}{q^{(j)}})S\circ\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{(k)}}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}h^2\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-\partial)^j\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{(kh)^j}{j!}S\circ(\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{(k)}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{(k)}})+h^3Z\circ S\circ(\frac{\delta}{\delta w}-\frac{\delta}{\delta v}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}h^2S\circ(\frac{\delta}{\delta w}+\frac{\delta}{\delta v})+h^3Z\circ S\circ(\frac{\delta}{\delta w}-\frac{\delta}{\delta v}),
\end{aligned}$$

where Z stands for a differential operator, and we do not care about its concrete form. Note Lemma 1 and the definition of H_i in (2.10), we can have the expansion

$$S(\widetilde{H}_m)=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\widetilde{H}_{m,i}h^i,$$

where $\widetilde{H}_{m,i}\Big|_{q=0}=0$, and according to Proposition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta}{\delta q}\circ S(\widetilde{H}_m) &= \sum_{i=2}^{\infty}h^i\frac{\delta\widetilde{H}_{m,i}}{\delta q} \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{2}h^2S\circ(\frac{\delta}{\delta w}+\frac{\delta}{\delta v})+h^3Z\circ S\circ(\frac{\delta}{\delta w}-\frac{\delta}{\delta v})\right]\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\beta_{m,i}H_m \\
&= \frac{1}{2}h^{2m+2}\frac{\delta\overline{H}_m}{\delta q}+O(h^{2m+3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then one can get [12]

$$\widetilde{H}_{m,i}\in\text{Const.}+\text{Image}(\partial),\qquad 2\le i\le 2m+1.$$

As we mentioned above, there is no constant item in each $\widetilde{H}_{m,i}$, $i\ge 2$, (i.e., $\widetilde{H}_{m,i}\Big|_{q=0}=0$), so

$$\int\widetilde{H}_{m,i}dx=0,\qquad 2\le i\le 2m+1.$$

Just using the same deduction, we conclude

$$\int\widetilde{H}_{m,2m+2}dx=\frac{1}{2}\int\overline{H}_m dx,$$

which completes the proof.

Lemma 8 *Under the relation (1.1) with $f(x,t)=\frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$, we have*

$$A_k=\alpha_k-\gamma_k+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}A_{k,i}h^i,\qquad k=0,1,...,\tag{3.47}$$

where

$$A_{k,2i}|_{q=0}=0,\quad A_{k,2i+1}|_{q=0}=\xi_{k,2i+1}\partial^{2i+1},\qquad i=1,2,...,\tag{3.48}$$

$\xi_{k,2i+1}$*is a constant, and* α_k *and* γ_k *are given in Lemma 1.*

Proof. For $k=0$ and $k=1$, we have

$$A_0|_{q=0}=-\frac{1}{2},\qquad A_1|_{q=0}=1+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2j+1)!}h^{2j+1}(-\partial)^{2j+1}.$$

If the lemma is valid for $k-1$, note $\alpha_k=-2\alpha_{k-1}+2\gamma_{k-1}$ (see Lemma 1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_k|_{q=0} &= A_{k-1}(E+w+vE^{(-1)})-vb_k^{(1)}E^{(-1)}-a_k\Big|_{q=0}\\ &= \left[\alpha_{k-1}-\gamma_{k-1}+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\xi_{k-1,2i+1}h^{2i+1}\partial^{2i+1}\right]\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{2}{(2j)!}h^{2j}\partial^{2j}\\ &\quad+\alpha_k\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j!}h^j(-\partial)^j-\gamma_k\\ &\equiv \alpha_k-\gamma_k+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\xi_{k,2i+1}h^{2i+1}\partial^{2i+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 9 *Define*

$$\widetilde{A}_k\equiv\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\beta_{k,i}A_{i-1}\qquad k=1,2,....\tag{3.49}$$

Then under the relation (1.1) with $f(x,t)=\frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$, we have

$$\widetilde{A}_k=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\widetilde{A}_{k,i}h^i,\tag{3.50}$$

where

$$\widetilde{A}_{k,2i}|_{q=0}=0,\quad \widetilde{A}_{k,2i+1}|_{q=0}=\widetilde{\xi}_{k,2i+1}\partial^{2i+1},\qquad i=1,2,...,\tag{3.51}$$

$\widetilde{\xi}_{k,2i+1}$*is a constant.*

Proof. According to Lemma 8, we only need to prove

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}(\alpha_{i-1} - \gamma_{i-1}) = 0. \tag{3.52}$$

It is easy to check the cases: $k = 1$ and $k = 2$, and for $k \geq 3$, note Lemma 5, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \beta_{k,i}(\alpha_{i-1} - \gamma_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \beta_{k-1,i-1}(\alpha_{i-1} - \gamma_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \beta_{k-1,i}(\alpha_i - \gamma_i) = 0,$$

which completes the proof.

Proposition 5 *Given an integer $m > 0$, under the relation (1.1) with $L = 2m - 2$, $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$ and (3.32), we have*

$$\widetilde{A}_m \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \beta_{m,i} A_{i-1} = -\overline{A}_m h^{2m-1} + O(h^{2m}). \tag{3.53}$$

Proof. It is valid for $m = 1, 2$. According to Proposition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\widetilde{A}_m, L] &= \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \beta_{m,i} \frac{dw}{dt_{i-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \beta_{m,i} \frac{dv}{dt_{i-1}} E^{(-1)} \\
&= J_{12} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \beta_{m,i} K_{i,2} + J_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \beta_{m,i} K_{i,1} E^{(-1)} \\
&= -B_0 P_m h^{2m+1} + O(h^{2m+2}) \\
&= -[\overline{A}_m, \overline{L}] h^{2m+1} + O(h^{2m+2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Under the relation (1.1) with $L = 2m - 2$, $f(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$ and (3.32), Proposition 1 and Lemma 9 together imply

$$L = \overline{L} h^2 + \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} L_i h^i, \qquad \widetilde{A}_m = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{m,i} h^i, \tag{3.55}$$

where L_i and $\widetilde{A}_{m,i}$ are differential operators. Comparing the terms of h^4 in (3.54), we know

$$[\widetilde{A}_{m,2}, \overline{L}] = 0, \tag{3.56}$$

According to [16], $\widetilde{A}_{m,2}$ can be represented by

$$\widetilde{A}_{m,2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_{m,2,j} (\overline{L})^j, \tag{3.57}$$

where $\eta_{m,2,j}$ are constants. Note Lemma 9, we have

$$\widetilde{A}_{m,2}|_{q=0} = 0 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_{m,2,j} (\partial^2)^j. \tag{3.58}$$

Then one can get $\eta_{m,2,j} = 0$ for all j, and

$$\widetilde{A}_{m,2} = 0. \tag{3.59}$$

Comparing the terms of h^5 in (3.54), we know

$$[\widetilde{A}_{m,3}, \overline{L}] = 0, \tag{3.60}$$

then $\widetilde{A}_{m,3}$ can be represented by [16]

$$\widetilde{A}_{m,3} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_{m,3,j} (\overline{L})^j, \tag{3.61}$$

where $\eta_{m,3,j}$ are constants. Note Lemma 9, and we have

$$\widetilde{A}_{m,3}|_{q=0} = \widetilde{\xi}_{m,3} \partial^3 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_{m,3,j} (\partial^2)^j. \tag{3.62}$$

Then one can get $\eta_{m,3,j} = 0$ for all j, and

$$\widetilde{A}_{m,3} = 0. \tag{3.63}$$

In the same way, we conclude

$$\widetilde{A}_{m,i} = 0, \qquad i = 2, ..., 2m-2. \tag{3.64}$$

Comparing the terms of h^{2m+1} in (3.54), we know

$$[\widetilde{A}_{m,2m-1}, \overline{L}] = -[\overline{A}_m, \overline{L}], \tag{3.65}$$

then $\widetilde{A}_{m,2m-1} + \overline{A}_m$ can be represented by [16]

$$\widetilde{A}_{m,2m-1} + \overline{A}_m = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_{m,2m-1,j} (\overline{L})^j, \tag{3.66}$$

where $\eta_{m,2m-1,j}$ are constants. Note Lemma 9 and (2.20), we have

$$\left(\widetilde{A}_{m,2m-1}+\overline{A}_m\right)\Big|_{q=0}=\widetilde{\xi}_{m,2m-1}\partial^{2m-1}+\partial^{2m-1}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\eta_{m,2m-1,j}(\partial^2)^j. \tag{3.67}$$

Then we get $\eta_{m,2m-1,j}=0$ for all j and

$$\widetilde{A}_m\equiv\sum_{i=1}^{2m}\beta_{m,i}A_{i-1}=-\overline{A}_m h^{2m-1}+O(h^{2m}). \tag{3.68}$$

Thus the proof is completed.

4. Conclusions and remarks

In this paper, by introducing the higher order terms in the potential expansion, we have proved that there is the continuous limit relation between the Toda hierarchy and the KdV hierarchy. Compared with the [11], the fewer members of the Toda hierarchy are needed to recover the KdV hierarchy by the recombination method. For example, Proposition 3 shows that under the potential expansion (1.1) with $f(x,t)=\frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$ and (3.32), we can combine K_0, K_1, ..., K_{m+1}, to get P_m in continuous limit. However, under the lower finite potential expansion, for example (1.1) with $f(x,t)=\frac{1}{2}q(x,t)$ and $L=0$, we need K_0, K_1, ..., K_m, ..., K_{2m}, to recover P_m through the continuous limit process [11].

Compared with the [10], a new method for introducing $\Phi_i(f)$ in the potential expansion (1.1) was presented in this paper. Moreover, from the recursion formula for $\Phi_i(f)$ (3.32), it is easy to see that the $\Phi_i(f)$'s, introduced in our construction, are all differential polynomials of f, and our process for determining $\Phi_i(f)$ can be continued indefinitly. However, this can not be obtained in [10], since the $\Phi_i(f)$'s are obtained by integration there.

It was also shown that the Lax pairs, the Poisson tensors, and the Hamiltonians of the Toda hierarchy tend towards the corresponding ones of the KdV hierarchy in continuous limit

Acknowledgements

This work was in part supported by the City University of Hong Kong (Project Nos.: 7001178, 7001041), the RGC of Hong Kong (Project No.: 9040466), and Chi-

nese Basic Research Project "Nonlinear Science". One of the authors (R.L. Lin) acknowledges warm hospitality at the City University of Hong Kong.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 7

Denote $\widetilde{w}_i = q^{(s_1)} \cdots q^{(s_{i-1})} q^{(s_{i+1})} \cdots q^{(s_m)}$, for $i = 1, ..., m$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta}{\delta q} \circ \widetilde{S} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\partial)^j \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{(j)}} \circ \widetilde{S} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\partial)^j \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{w}^{(k)})}{\partial q^{(j)}} \right) \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}^{(k)}} \\
&= h^l \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=s_i}^{\infty} (-\partial)^j \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{(kh)^{j-s_i}}{(j-s_i)!} \left(e^{kh\partial} \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{w}_i) \right) \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}^{(k)}} \\
&= h^l \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\partial)^{j+s_i} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{(kh)^{j}}{j!} \left(e^{kh\partial} \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{w}_i) \right) \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}^{(k)}} \\
&= h^l \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\partial)^{s_i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p=0}^{j} \frac{(-kh)^{j}}{p!(j-p)!} \left(\partial^p e^{kh\partial} \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{w}_i) \right) \partial^{j-p} \circ \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}^{(k)}} \\
&= h^l \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\partial)^{s_i} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} \frac{(-kh)^{j}}{p!(j-p)!} \left(\partial^p e^{kh\partial} \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{w}_i) \right) \partial^{j-p} \circ \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}^{(k)}} \\
&= h^l \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\partial)^{s_i} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left(\partial^p e^{kh\partial} \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{w}_i) \right) \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-kh)^{j+p}}{p!j!} \partial^j \circ \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}^{(k)}} \\
&= h^l \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\partial)^{s_i} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-kh)^{p}}{p!} \left(\partial^p e^{kh\partial} \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{w}_i) \right) \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-kh)^{j}}{j!} \partial^j \circ \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}^{(k)}} \\
&= h^l \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\partial)^{s_i} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-kh)^{p}}{p!} \left(\partial^p e^{kh\partial} \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{w}_i) \right) \widetilde{S} \circ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} E^{(-k)} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}^{(k)}} \\
&= h^l \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\partial)^{s_i} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-kh)^{p}}{p!} \left(\partial^p e^{kh\partial} \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{w}_i) \right) \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\delta}{\delta \widetilde{w}^{(k)}} \\
&\equiv h^l \widetilde{Z} \circ \widetilde{S} \circ \frac{\delta}{\delta \widetilde{w}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof for Lemma 7 is finished.

References

[1] Toda M and Wadati M 1973 A soliton and two solitons in an exponential lattice and related equations *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **34** 18-25

[2] Case K M and Kac M. 1974 A discrete version of the inverse scattering problem *J. Math. Phys.* **14** 594-603

[3] Kupershmit B A 1985 Discrete Lax equations and differential-difference calculus *Astérisque* vol 123 (Paris: Soc. Math. France)

[4] Ablowitz M J and Segur H 1981 Solitons and the inverse scattering transform (Philadelphia: SIAM)

[5] Zeng Y B and Rauch-Wojciechowski S 1995 Restricted flows of the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy and their continuous limits *J. Phys. A:Math. Gen.* **28** 113-134

[6] Zeng Y B and Rauch-Wojciechowski S 1995 Continuous limit for the Kac-van Moerbeke hierarchy and for their restricted flows *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** 3825-3840

[7] Morosi C and Pizzocchero L 1996 On the continuous limit of integrable lattices I. The Kac-Moerbeke system and KdV theory *Commun. Math. Phys.* **180** 505-528

[8] Morosi C and Pizzocchero L 1998 On the continuous limit of integrable lattices II. Volterra system and $sp(N)$ theories *Rev. Math. Phys.* **10** 235-270

[9] Morosi C and Pizzocchero L 1998 On the continuous limit of integrable lattices III. Kupershmidt systems and $sl(N+1)$ KdV theories *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 2727-2746

[10] Gieseker D 1996 The Toda hierarchy and the KdV hierarchy *Commun. Math. Phys.* **181** 587-603

[11] Zeng Y B, Lin R L and Cao X 1999 The relation between the Toda hierarchy and the KdV hierarchy *Phys. Lett.* **251A** 177-183

[12] Tu Gui-zhang 1990 A trace identity and its applications to the theory of discrete integrable systems *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** 3903-3922

[13] Newell A C 1985 Soliton in mathematics and physics (Philadelphia: SIAM)

[14] Ma W X and Fuchssteiner B 1999 Algebraic structure of discrete zero curvature equations and master symmetries of discrete evolution equations *J. Math. Phys.* **40** 2400-2418

[15] Fuchssteiner B and Ma W X 1999 "An approach to master symmetries of lattice equations", *Symmetries and Integrability of Difference Equations*, 247-260, edited by Clarkson P A and Nijhoff F W (Cambridge: London Math. Soc.) pp 247-260

[16] Drinfeld V G and Sokolov V V 1985 Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type *J. Sov. Math.* **30** 1975-2036