

1

1

Building an ecological knowledge of virtual worlds.

2

Pierre-Olivier Montiglio^{1*}, Julien Céré²

3 Affiliations

4 ¹ FQRNT post-doctoral fellow.

5 ² Département des Sciences Biologiques, Université du Québec à Montréal, Québec, Canada,

6 cere.julien.2@courrier.uqam.ca.

7 *Correspondence to: mrmontiglio@ucdavis.edu

8

9 Keywords

10 Ecology, Behavior, Ecosystem management, Virtual worlds, Massively multiplayer online
11 games.

12

13 Abstract

14 Virtual worlds supporting massively multiplayer games have become so complex that they
15 exhibit temporal and spatial dynamics mostly driven by interactions between players. In this
16 respect, virtual worlds resemble closely natural ecosystems. Studying the ecology of virtual
17 worlds is an outstanding opportunity for ecologists as well as the game industry to collaborate in
18 order to test several aspects of ecological theory difficult to study in nature, and build
19 manageable, resilient virtual worlds.

20 Main Text

2

21 Massive Multi-player Online Games (or MMOG), such as World of Warcraft, Second life, or
22 EVE Online typically support real time interactions among a hundreds of thousand or millions of
23 players within virtual worlds of increasing complexity (1). Over the years, the content and design
24 of MMOG have come to rely to a large extent on the emergent properties of the behavior of
25 players and their interactions (2) within virtual worlds that display vibrant economic,
26 sociological, political, and artistic activity (1, 3). These dynamics are now so complex that they
27 are studied in their own right (1, 2), but also represent great opportunities to understand real life
28 phenomena (4, 5).

29
30 At the heart of this complexity sits player behavior and its unpredictability. Hence, designing and
31 maintaining stable virtual worlds has become very challenging (2). For example, players'
32 behavior and interactions drove a wide scale plague, killing thousands of characters and
33 perturbing the game World of Warcraft for months (4, 6). An emerging discipline – game
34 analytics – even uses telemetry, GIS, and detailed quantitative analysis to inform game design.
35 Game analysts categorize the behavior of players, and monitor dynamics of virtual worlds to
36 optimize gameplay (2), very much as ecologists analyze how interactions among organisms drive
37 their diversity and abundance to manipulate or preserve ecosystem functioning (7). Avatars, like
38 living organisms, are long lived (years), and exhibit fascinating ecologies. In response to
39 resource distribution and competition, they even express alternative strategies to acquire
40 resources that are similar to producers, consumers, and decomposers (e.g. EVE Online).

41 MMOG design would greatly benefit from the tools already developed to study living organisms.
42 Ecologically informed game design, like conservation ecology, would enable us to build virtual
43 worlds supporting a higher diversity of players, or show more stable interaction dynamics. Game

44 design impacts how much, how frequently, and how long players will stick to the game,
45 ultimately determining the impact and value of these virtual worlds. Game analytics already
46 applies telemetry methods to monitor player's in-game activity, space use and longevity (2). Such
47 methods may also be applied to track how avatar interactions determine player density, or
48 diversity over space and time. Such patterns of abundance and density could help identify
49 meta-population dynamics within virtual worlds and sink habitats, where players lose interest
50 and decrease their in-game activity.

51 The density and diversity of players may also be driven by the distribution of resources over the
52 landscape. Clumped resource distributions will favor the emergence of strategies involving
53 territorial behavior, with players restricting access to resources. More even resource distributions
54 should favor players aiming at acquiring resources more efficiently or rapidly than their
55 competitors, without defending them (8). Eventually, instead of competing for resources, some
56 players often predate each other, leading to complex trophic food webs. Such strategies may
57 even end up excluding one another. At the landscape scale, the coexistence of players with
58 different strategies or characteristics will depend on the availability of different habitats (8).
59 Manipulating the heterogeneity of the habitat to allow a greater diversity of strategies is a central
60 aspect of game design, and it needs to be informed by ecological knowledge.

61 Players, like animals, also dispose of a limited quantity of time spent in the game. Time may
62 vary from a few hours per week, spent in game sessions of a few minutes each to the equivalent
63 of a full time job, spent in long game sessions. Such patterns of time availability will typically
64 have huge effect on the strategies expressed by players. Animals with little time will specialize in
65 a limited set of key strategies to win, whereas other animals with the possibility to allocate more
66 time may adopt a 'Jack of all trade' strategy (9). The specific type of strategy expressed by these

67 animals will likely lead to radically different patterns of survival: whereas specialists will be
68 overall more efficient per unit of time, they may either do very well or very badly, 'jack of all
69 trade' strategists will be less competitive but have higher chances of success in the long run.
70 Figuring out how player's time constraint shapes their strategy would be a nice application of
71 optimal foraging theory and surely help optimize games for different types of gamers.

72 Implementing changes throughout the games' life (in so called patches and releases) is also a
73 major challenge, as it needs to balance change and consistency of the virtual world. Whereas not
74 enough change may prevent the game from staying dynamic, too many perturbations may
75 destabilize the interactions among players, and prevent them from persisting in the environment
76 (10). Through these changes, game companies typically aim at retaining the players already
77 attached to the game while recruiting new players, often with different time constraints, or
78 strategies. Striking a balance between habitat changes and stability within virtual worlds, like
79 managing real habitats (and perturbations), is another challenge that should be informed by
80 ecological knowledge.

81 Virtual worlds have become amazingly complex. They are now an integral part of our social
82 lives, and are no longer strictly used as entertainment (1). They are among the last ecosystems in
83 need of ecologists' attention, and a great opportunity to apply fundamental scientific knowledge
84 to an important aspect of our society. We believe an ecological approach is necessary if we are to
85 build richer, more stable and resilient virtual environments. They also represent an outstanding
86 opportunity to expand our understanding of ecological processes that are hard to quantify in real
87 ecosystems.

88

89 **References**

- 90 1. Paul Messinger, Eleni Stroulia, Virtual worlds — past, present, and future: New directions in
91 social computing. *Decision Support systems* **47**, 204 - 228 (2009).
- 92 2. Maggie Seif El-Nasr, Anders Drachen, *Game analytics, maximizing the value of player data*
93 (Springer-Verlag, London, 2013).
- 94 3. Edward Castronova, On virtual economies. *Game Studies* **3**,
95 www.gamestudies.org/0302/castronova/ (2003).
- 96 4. Eric T Lofgren, Nina H Fefferman, The untapped potential of virtual game worlds to shed
97 light on real world epidemics. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* **7**, 625 – 629.
- 98 5. Peter Suderman, A multiplayer game environment is actually a dream come true for an
99 economist. reason.com/archives/2014/05/07/a-multiplayer-game-environment (2014).
- 100 6. Mark Ward, Deadly Plague hits Warcraft world. news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/technology/4272418.stm
101 (2005).
- 102 7. Robert E Ricklef, *The economy of Nature* (W. H. Freeman, 6th edition, 2008).
- 103 8. Thomas M. Smith, Robert L. Smith, *Elements of Ecology* (Benjamin Cummings, 8th edition,
104 2001).
- 105 9. Eric L. Charnov. Optimal foraging, the marginal value theorem. *Theoretical Population*
106 *Biology* **9**, 129 - 136 (1976).
- 107 10. Brianna Royce, Working as intended: change for change's sake in World of Warcraft.
108 massively.joystiq.com/category/working-as-intended/ (2014).